

Message

---

**From:** Davis, Eva [Davis.Eva@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 6/20/2016 6:05:50 PM  
**To:** Steve Willis [steve@uxopro.com]  
**CC:** Wayne Miller [Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov]; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: 2016-6-20 - williams AFB - AMEC still vapor extracting - ST12 work -

I don't have the RAWP in front of me, but I believe that states that one of the purposes of the extraction afterwards is to cool the site. Usually TerraTherm will let the steam bubble collapse before they terminate extraction. Based on the information in the weekly reports that AMEC just started sending out, the site is nowhere near cool, and there is still a steam bubble. Note in the first weekly report sent, debris flying out of the wells – health and safety hazard, as well as a real good indication that the steam bubble has not collapsed. The table of the temperatures in the wells in another good indication – average temperature of over 200F in some of the wells. And now LNAPL collecting in all of the perimeter extraction wells – 80 and 110 gallons recovered from some of the wells - proves that the SEE system was turned off too early.

---

**From:** Steve Willis [mailto:steve@uxopro.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2016 12:58 PM  
**To:** Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Wayne Miller <Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov>; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: 2016-6-20 - williams AFB - AMEC still vapor extracting - ST12 work -

Correct. They hooked up the deep SVE screened intervals that were disconnected prior to SEE and are currently extracting vapors from all the original SVE wells. No groundwater extraction.

*Steven A. Willis, R.G.  
UXO Pro, Inc.  
Arizona Registered Geologist #30448  
(480) 316-3373  
[steve@uxopro.com](mailto:steve@uxopro.com)*

----- Original Message -----

**From:** "Davis, Eva" <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>  
**To:** Wayne Miller <Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov>; d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** steve <steve@uxopro.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2016 10:37:35 AM  
**Subject:** RE: 2016-6-20 - williams AFB - AMEC still vapor extracting - ST12 work -

.....  
From the SVE system, not the SEE system

---

**From:** Wayne Miller [mailto:Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2016 12:36 PM  
**To:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** steve <steve@uxopro.com>; Davis, Eva <Davis.Eva@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** 2016-6-20 - williams AFB - AMEC still vapor extracting - ST12 work -

Please check me on this but I believe AMEC is extracting Vapor.

---

**From:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. [<mailto:dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Monday, June 20, 2016 10:02 AM  
**To:** Davis, Eva <[Davis.Eva@epa.gov](mailto:Davis.Eva@epa.gov)>; Wayne Miller <[Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov](mailto:Miller.Wayne@azdeq.gov)>  
**Subject:** 2016-6-20 - williams AFB - is AMEC still vapor extracting - ST12 stop work - RCaraway EPA

FYI Rounding up support:

---

**From:** Caraway, Rosemarie  
**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 5:59 PM  
**To:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <[dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

I think the problem is that everyone keeps thinking that the Airforce's contractors stopping extraction while the subsurface is hot is similar to turning off a groundwater treatment system. And they are applying the same Superfund rules for a groundwater treatment system to that situation. It's not ...the purpose of thermal heating in the subsurface is treatment ...they can turn off the heat ie fire under the firing pan you turn it off ...that's ok...but after you turn off the heat you MUST monitor what is going on in the subsurface and keep extracting the contaminated vapors and or chemicals that could be in the wells, if they are still at that hot treatment temperature. These guys turned off extraction of the vapors from the subsurface while it is in the middle of treatment. They can't do that ...we have an uncontrolled situation going on here if the system is still at the hot temperatures. Removing the extraction system would be ok if they were close to the bioremediation temperatures (that occurs when the temperatures or the subsurface is cooler)...but you can't turn off the heat and walk away from extraction while it's still extremely hot. Folks have to remember that the awesomeness of this treatment system is that it stays hot and can treat our subsurfaces for a while BUT part of the treatment system is EXTRACTION and treatment of the contaminated vapors. You don't turn off the heat and stop extracting the vapors at the same time. The thermal contractors are probably concerned because their great treatment system just turned into a uncontrolled release.

Gorm is one of the most respected leaders on this technology. If he is concerned about this then we should be also. Somebody needs to tell the Airforce that they can turn off the heat if they choose ...you can still argue with them on whether or not that was appropriate, but they CANNOT let their contractors stop extracting the contaminated vapors from the subsurface while the subsurface is at the hot temperatures. The treatment includes both the heat AND the EXTRACTION....this is about MONEY and only MONEY and they really need to stop it. Good luck Carolyn. Thanks for sharing with me the conversation. Maybe if the Airforce can really "Listen" and understand how bad this situation is they will make them turn on the vapor extraction system.

Rose Marie Caraway, MBA

Environmental Scientist

Remedial Project Manager

75 Hawthorne Street, SFD 7-2

San Francisco, CA 94105

(415)972-3158

---

**From:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 3:40 PM

**To:** Caraway, Rosemarie <[Caraway.RoseMarie@epa.gov](mailto:Caraway.RoseMarie@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** FW: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

Fyi

---

**From:** Minor, Dustin

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 3:38 PM

**To:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <[dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov](mailto:dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov)>; Butler, Thomas <[Butler.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Butler.Thomas@epa.gov)>; Henning, Loren <[Henning.Loren@epa.gov](mailto:Henning.Loren@epa.gov)>; Herrera, Angeles <[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov](mailto:Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

I am not aware of any authority or precedent for us taking over work at a federal facility. Let's discuss when we meet on Monday.

Dustin F. Minor

Chief, Hazardous Waste Branch

Office of Regional Counsel

(415) 972-3888

---

**From:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 3:34 PM

**To:** Butler, Thomas <[Butler.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Butler.Thomas@epa.gov)>; Henning, Loren <[Henning.Loren@epa.gov](mailto:Henning.Loren@epa.gov)>; Herrera, Angeles

<Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

Agree that talking to them would be the first step. But I would hope that we would have emergency authority to move quicker than we could under the dispute resolution procedures if they don't immediately agree with us.

---

**From:** Butler, Thomas

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 2:51 PM

**To:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

Air Force is the liable party. The contractor is only their agent. It would have to be Air Force refusing to extract for containment, which would present an emergency condition under Section 11.2 and 11.2, and possibly 11.3. I think the first step in an emergency is to declare it such in a call or letter from Enrique to Dr. Termaath, assuming we are technically justified in doing so, and demanding a concrete action to abate the risk.

---

**From:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 2:39 PM

**To:** Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

Yes, but we need to restart extraction for containment. What if the PBR contractor refuses and its an emergency? Can the OSCs take over?

---

**From:** Butler, Thomas

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 2:36 PM

**To:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K. <dAlmeida.Carolyn@epa.gov>; Henning, Loren <Henning.Loren@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>

**Subject:** RE: Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

I don't immediately see a work takeover provision (we are one United States, after all), but we wouldn't really need one if we invoked the work stoppage provision (Section 11.2). It provides "[i]n the absence of mutual agreement, the activities shall be stopped in accordance with the proposal, and the matter shall be immediately referred to the EPA Hazardous Waste Management Division Director..." Here, the "stoppage" would be the curtailment and dismantling of the extraction system. That said, I think we need to reconvene ASAP because I'm confused based on our meeting last week.

---

**From:** d'Almeida, Carolyn K.

**Sent:** Friday, June 17, 2016 2:12 PM

**To:** Henning, Loren <[Henning.Loren@epa.gov](mailto:Henning.Loren@epa.gov)>; Herrera, Angeles <[Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov](mailto:Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov)>; Minor, Dustin <[Minor.Dustin@epa.gov](mailto:Minor.Dustin@epa.gov)>; Butler, Thomas <[Butler.Thomas@epa.gov](mailto:Butler.Thomas@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** Can we do a an emergency take over of the ST12 site under the FFA?

I'm talking to Rosemarie about what is going at ST12. From her Pemaco experience she was really shocked and thought with the site still being hot and the extraction system shut down would be grounds for an emergency takeover of the work if this were a private site. Is emergency takeover an option under the FFA? We need to get that extraction system going again as we don't know where fluids are going.

Carolyn d'Almeida

Remedial Project Manager

Federal Facilities Branch (SFD 8-1)

US EPA Region 9

(415) 972-3150

*"Because a waste is a terrible thing to mind..."*

---

NOTICE: This e-mail (and any attachments) may contain PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL information and is intended only for the use of the specific individual(s) to whom it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged and confidential under state and federal law. This information may be used or disclosed only in accordance with law, and you may be subject to penalties under law for improper use or further disclosure of the information in this e-mail and its attachments. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify the person named above by reply e-mail, and then delete the original e-mail. Thank you.